arXiv:1609.00830v1 [math.AC] 3 Sep 2016

COHEN-MACAULAY LEXSEGMENT COMPLEXES IN ARBITRARY CODIMENSION

HASSAN HAGHIGHI, SIAMAK YASSEMI, AND RAHIM ZAARE-NAHANDI

Abstract. We characterize pure lexsegment complexes which are Cohen-Macaulay in arbitrary codimension. More precisely, we prove that any lexsegment complex is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it is pure and its one dimensional links are connected, and, a lexsegment flag complex is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it is pure and connected. We show that any non-Cohen-Macaulay lexsegment complex is a Buchsbaum complex if and only if it is a pure disconnected flag complex. For $t \geq 2$, a lexsegment complex is strictly Cohen-Macaulay in codimension t if and only if it is the join of a lexsegment pure disconnected flag complex with a $(t-2)$-dimensional simplex. When the Stanley-Reisner ideal of a pure lexsegment complex is not quadratic, the complex is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it is Cohen-Macaulay in some codimension. Our results are based on a characterization of Cohen-Macaulay and Buchsbaum lexsegment complexes by Bonanzinga, Sorrenti and Terai.

1. Introduction

Primary significance of lexsegment ideals comes from Macaulay's result that for any monomial ideal there is a unique lexsegment monomial ideal with the same Hilbert function (see [10] and [2]). Recent studies on the topic began with the work of Bigatti [3] and Hulett [6] on extremal properties of lexsegment monomial ideals. Aramova, Herzog and Hibi showed that for any squarefree monomial ideal there exists a squarefree lexsegment monomial ideal with the same Hilbert function [1]. In this direction, some characterizations of pure, Cohen-Macaulay and Buchsbaum complexes associated with squarefree lexsegment ideals was given by Bonansinga, Sorrenti and Terai in [5]. As a generalization of Cohen-Macaulay and Buchsbaum complexes, CM_t complexes, were studied in [8]. These are pure simplicial complexes which are Cohen-Macaulay in codimension t. Naturally, one may ask for a characterization of CM_t lexsegment complexes. In this paper, using the behavior of CM_t property under the operation of join of complexes [9], we first provide some modifications of the results of Bonanzinga, Sorrenti and Terai in [5]. Then, we characterize CM_t lexsegment simplicial complexes. Our characterizations are mostly in terms of purity and connectedness of certain subcomplexes. In particular, it turns out a lexsegment complex is Cohen-Macaulay if and only it is pure and its one dimensional links are connected while for lexsegment flag complexes,

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13H10, 13D02.

Key words and phrases. Squarefree lexsegment ideal, Cohen-Macaulay complex, Buchsbaum complex, flag complex, CM_t complex.

H. Haghighi was supported in part by a grant from K. N. Toosi University of Technology.

S. Yassemi and R. Zaare-Nahandi were supported in part by a grant from the University of Tehran.

Emails: haghighi@kntu.ac.ir, yassemi@ipm.ir, rahimzn@ut.ac.ir.

the Cohen-Macaulay property is equivalent to purity and connectedness of the simplicial complex. The Buchsbaum property is equivalent to being Cohen-Macaulay or a pure flag complex. A non-Buchsbaum complex is CM_t, $t \geq 2$, if and only if it is the join of a Buchsbaum complex with a $(t-2)$-simplex. It also appears that any CM_t lexsegment complex for which the associated Stanley-Reisner ideal is generated in degree $d \geq 3$, is indeed Cohen-Macaulay. Our proofs are heavily based on the results in [4] and particularly, on results in [5].

2. Preliminaries and notations

Let $R = k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ be the ring of polynomials in n variables over a field k with standard grading. Let M_d be the set of all squarefree monomials of degree d in R. Consider the lexicographic ordering of monomials in R induced by the order $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n$. A squarefree lexsegment monomial ideal in degree d is an ideal generated by a lexsegment $L(u, v) = \{w \in M_d : u \geq w \geq v\}$ for some $u, v \in M_d$ with $u \geq v$.

Let Δ be a simplicial complex on $[n] = \{1, \cdots, n\}$ with the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta]$. Recall that for any face $F \in \Delta$, the link of F in Δ is defined as follows:

$$\mathrm{lk}_{\Delta}(F) = \{G \in \Delta | G \cup F \in \Delta, G \cap F = \varnothing\}.$$

In the sequel by a complex we will always mean a simplicial complex. When a complex has a quadratic Stanley-Reisner ideal, that is, it is the independence complex of a graph,then it is called a flag complex.

A complex is said to satisfy the S_2 condition of Serre if $k[\Delta]$ satisfies the S_2 condition. Using [13, Lemma 3.2.1] and Hochster's formula on local cohomology modules, a pure $(d-1)$-dimensional complex Δ satisfies the S_2 condition if and only if $\widetilde{H}_0(\mathrm{link}_{\Delta}(F); k) = 0$ for all $F \in \Delta$ with $\#F \leq d-2$ (see [14, page 4]). Therefore, Δ is S_2 if and only if it is pure and $\mathrm{link}_{\Delta}(F)$ is connected whenever $F \in \Delta$ and $\dim(\mathrm{link}_{\Delta}(F)) \geq 1$.

Let t be an integer $0 \leq t \leq \dim(\Delta) - 1$. A pure complex Δ is called CM_t, or Cohen-Macaulay in codimension t, over k if the complex $\mathrm{lk}_{\Delta}(F)$ is Cohen-Macaulay over k for all $F \in \Delta$ with $\#F \geq t$. It is clear that for any $j \geq i$, CM_i implies CM_j. For $t \geq 1$, a CM_t complex is said to be strictly CM_t if it is not CM_{t-1}. A squarefree monomial ideal is called CM_t if the associated simplicial complex is CM_t. Note that from the results by Reisner [11] and Schenzel [13] it follows that CM_0 is the same as Cohen-Macaulayness and CM_1 is identical with the Buchsbaum property.

A complex Δ is said to be lexsegment if the associated Stanley-Reisner ideal I_{Δ} is a lexsegment ideal. Therefore, Δ is a CM_t lexsegment complex if I_{Δ} is a lexsegment ideal and Δ is CM_t.

3. CM_t lexsegment complexes

The following result plays a significant role in the study of CM_t lexsegment complexes.

Theorem 3.1. [9, Theorem 3.1] *Let Δ_1 and Δ_2 be two complexes of dimensions $r_1 - 1$ and $r_2 - 1$, respectively. Then*

(i) *The join complex $\Delta_1 * \Delta_2$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if Δ_1 and Δ_2 are both Cohen-Macaulay.*
(ii) *If Δ_1 is Cohen-Macaulay and Δ_2 is CM_t for some $t \geq 1$, then $\Delta_1 * \Delta_2$ is CM_{r_1+t} (independent of r_2). This is sharp, i.e., if Δ_2 is strictly CM_t, then $\Delta_1 * \Delta_2$ is strictly CM_{r+t}.*

Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and let $u \geq v$ be in M_d, $u = x_i x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_d}$ with $i < i_2 < \cdots < i_d$. Let Δ be a complex on $[n]$ such that $I_\Delta = (L(u,v)) \subset k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$. Let Δ_1 be the simplex on $[i-1] = \{1, \cdots, i-1\}$, and let Δ_2 be the complex of the lexsegment ideal generated by $L(u,v)$ as an ideal in $k[x_i, \cdots, x_n]$. Then it is immediate that $\Delta = \Delta_1 * \Delta_2$. Observe that, Δ is disconnected if and only if $\Delta_1 = \emptyset$ and Δ_2 is disconnected. Similarly, Δ is pure if and only if Δ_2 is pure. Furthermore, by Theorem 3.1 we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *With the notation and assumption as above the following statements hold:*
(i) *The complex Δ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if Δ_2 is Cohen-Macaulay. In other words, to check the Cohen-Macaulay property of Δ one may always assume $i = 1$.*
(ii) *Assume that Δ_2 is strictly CM_t for some $t \geq 1$. Then Δ is strictly CM_{t+i}. In particular, a characterization of CM_t squarefree lexsegment ideals with $i = 1$ uniquely provides a characterization of CM_t lexsegment ideals.*

Remark 3.3. *Corollary 3.2 substantially simplifies the statements and proofs of* [4] *and* [5].

Based on Corollary 3.2, unless explicitly specified, we will assume that $i = 1$.

Bonanzinga, Sorrenti and Terai [5] have given a characterization of Cohen-Macaulay squarefree lexsegment ideals in degree $d \geq 2$. We give an improved version of their result. Our proof is extracted from their proof.

Theorem 3.4. [5, An improved version of Theorem 3.4] *Let $u > v$ be in M_d, $I_\Delta = (L(u,v))$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*
(i) *Δ is shellable;*
(ii) *Δ is Cohen-Macaulay;*
(iii) *Δ is S_2;*
(iv) *Δ is pure and $lk_\Delta(F)$ is connected for all $F \in \Delta$ with $\dim(lk_\Delta(F)) = 1$.*

Proof. Clearly, (iii)⇒(iv). Thus, by [5, Theorem 3.4] of Bonanzinga, Sorrenti and Terai, we only need to prove (iv) ⇒ (i). As mentioned above, we may assume $i = 1$. Checking all cases from the proof of (iii) ⇒ (iv) in [5, Theorem 3.4], it reveals that when Δ is pure, if u and v are not in the list (1),...,(7) in their theorem, then one of the following cases (a) (with $d \geq 3$), (b), or (c) specified in the their proof, may occur: (*a*) $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta([n] \setminus \{1, 2, d+1, d+2\}) = \langle \{1,2\}, \{d+1, d+2\} \rangle$,
(*b*) $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\{n-d+1, \cdots, \hat{k}, \widehat{k+1}, \cdots, n\}) = \langle \{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \cdots, \{1, n-d\}, \{k, k+1\} \rangle$, where $n - d + 1 \leq k \leq n-1$,
(c) $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\{n-d+3, \cdots, n\}) = \langle \{1,2\}, \cdots, \{1, n-d-1\}, \{n-d, n-d+1\}, \{n-d, n-d+2\}, \{n-d+1, n-d+2\} \rangle$).

In all these cases, $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(F)$ is disconnected for some $F \in \Delta$ with $\dim(\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(F)) = 1$. Therefore, assuming (iv) above, u and v will be in the list (1),...,(7) in their theorem. Hence, by the proof of (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) in their theorem, Δ is shellable. □

Remark 3.5. *It is known that for some flag complexes including the independence complex of a bipartite graph or a chordal graph, the conditions S_2 and Cohen-Macaulay-ness are equivalent* [7]. *Nevertheless, the condition (d) is in general weaker than the S_2 property. For example, if $\Delta = \langle\{1,2,3,4\},\{1,5,6.7\}\rangle$, then Δ satisfies the condition (d) but does not satisfy the S_2 property. Indeed, any one-dimensional face has a connected one-dimensional link, but $lk_\Delta(\{1\})$ is disconnected of dimension 2.*

For $d = 2$, the statement in Theorem 3.4(iv) could be relaxed as follows. The assumption $i = 1$ is still in order.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $u > v$ be in M_2, $I_\Delta = (L(u,v))$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) Δ *is shellable;*
(ii) Δ *is Cohen-Macaulay;*
(iii) Δ *is S_2;*
(iv) Δ *is pure and connected.*

Proof. We only need to check (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Once again, checking the proof of [5, Theorem 3.4], the case (a) could not occur for $d = 2$. In case (b), it follows that $u = x_1x_{n-1}$, $v = x_{n-2}x_n$ with $n > 3$. Then, $\Delta = \langle\{1,2\},\{n-1,n\}\rangle$ is disconnected. In case (c), it turns out that $u = x_1x_{n-2}$, $v = x_{n-2}x_{n-1}$ with $n > 4$. Then, $\Delta = \langle\{1,2\},\{n-2,n\},\{n-1,n\}\rangle$ is again disconnected. Therefore, assuming purity and connectedness of Δ, u and v will be in the list (1),...,(7) of [5, Theorem 3.4]. Hence, by the proof of (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) of the same theorem, Δ is shellable. □

Let $u > v$ be in M_d, $I_\Delta = (L(u,v))$. Bonanzinga, Sorrenti and Terai in [5] have shown that for $d \geq 3$, Δ is Buchsbaum if and only if it is Cohen-Macaulay. The same proof implies that this is the case for CM_t lexsegment complexes.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $u > v$ be in M_d with $d \geq 3$ and $I_\Delta = (L(u,v))$. Then for any $t \geq 0$, Δ is CM_t if and only if Δ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Clearly any Cohen-Macaulay complex is CM_t. Assume that Δ is CM_t. Then as noticed in the proof [5, Thorem 4.1], for $d \geq 3$, depth$k[\Delta] \geq 2$. Hence Δ is S_2. Therefore, by Theorem 3.6, Δ is Cohen-Macaulay. □

By Proposition 3.7 to check the CM_t property with $t \geq 1$, for squarefree lexsegment ideals we should restrict to the case $d = 2$.

We now drop the assumption $i = 1$ and assume that $u > v$ are in M_2, $u = x_ix_j$, $v = x_rx_s$ with $i < j$ and $r < s$. Let $I_\Delta = (L(u,v)) \subset k[x_1,\cdots,x_n]$. Recall that if Δ_1 is the simplex on $[i-1]$, and Δ_2 is the complex of the lexsegment ideal generated by $L(u,v)$ as an ideal in $k[x_i,\cdots,x_n]$. Then $\Delta = \Delta_1 * \Delta_2$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $u > v$ be in M_2, $I_\Delta = (L(u,v))$. Assume that Δ is not Cohen-Macaulay. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) Δ *is Buchsbaum;*

(2) *One of the following conditions hold;*
   (a) $u = x_1x_{n-2}, v = x_{n-2}x_{n-1}, n > 4$;
   (b) $u = x_1x_{n-1}, v = x_{n-2}x_n, n > 3$.
(3) $\Delta_1 = \emptyset$ *and* Δ_2 *is pure.*

Furthermore, in either of these equivalent cases, Δ *is disconnected.*

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) is given in [4, Theorem 2.1]. Assuming (2), then $\Delta_1 = \emptyset$, and as shown in the proof of Theorem 3.6, $\Delta = \Delta_2$ is pure in both cases (a) and (b). Thus (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Now if $\Delta_1 = \emptyset$ and Δ_2 is pure, as it was observed in the proof of Theorem 3.6, the only cases where Δ is pure but not Cohen-Macaulay are the cases (a) and (b) above, which settles (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). For the last statement, observe that if $\Delta = \Delta_2$ also happens to be connected, then by Theorem 3.6, Δ is Cohen-Macaulay. But this is contrary to the assumption. Hence Δ is disconnected. □

Theorem 3.9. *Let* $u > v$ *be in* M_2, $I_\Delta = (L(u, v))$. *Let* $\Delta = \Delta_1 * \Delta_2$ *be as above. Let* $t \geq 2$. *Assume that* Δ *is not* CM_{t-1}. *Then the following is equivalent:*

(i) Δ *is* CM_t*;*
(ii) *One of the following conditions hold;*
   (a) $u = x_tx_{n-2}, v = x_{n-2}x_{n-1}, n > 4$;
   (b) $u = x_tx_{n-1}, v = x_{n-2}x_n, n > 3$.
(iii) Δ_1 *is of dimension* $t - 2$ *and* Δ_2 *is pure.*
(iv) Δ_1 *is of dimension* $t - 2$ *and* Δ_2 *is Buchsbaum.*

Proof. The equivalence of (ii), (iii) and (iv) follows by applying Theorem 3.8 to Δ_2. Assuming (iv), the statement (i) follows by Corollary 3.2. Now assume (i). Let $u = x_ix_j$, $v = x_rx_s$. Then since Δ is CM_t, it is pure, and hence Δ_2 is pure. But since Δ is not Cohen-Macaulay, Δ_2 can not be Cohen-Macaulay. Thus by Theorem 3.8, Δ_2 is Buchsbaum but not Cohen-Macaulay. Now since $t \geq 2$ and Δ is not CM_{t-1}, it follows that $\Delta \neq \emptyset$ and $i \geq 2$. Hence by 3.2 $\Delta = \Delta_1 * \Delta_2$ is CM_i but not CM_{i-1}. Therefore, $i = t$ and Δ_1 is of dimension $t - 2$. □

Acknowledgments

Part of this note was prepared during a visit of Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Université Pierre et Marie Curie by the second and the third author. This visit was supported by Center for International Studies & Collaborations(CISSC) and French Embassy in Tehran in the framework of the Gundishapur project 27462PL on the Homological and Combinatorial Aspects of Commutative Algebra. The third author has been supported by research grant no. 4/1/6103011 of University of Tehran.

References

1. A. Aramova, J. Herzog and T. Hibi, *Squarefree lexsegment ideals*, Math. Z. **228** (1998), 353–378.
2. D. Bayer, *The division algorithm and the Hilbert scheme*, Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, Boston (1982).
3. A. Bigatti, *Upper bounds for the Betti numbers of a given Hilbert function*, Comm. Algebra **21** (1993), 2317–2334.
4. V. Bonanzinga and L. Sorrenti, *Cohen-Macaulay squarefree lexsegment ideals generated in degree 2*, Contemporary Mathematics 502 (2009), 25–31.

5. V. Bonanzinga, L. Sorrenti and N. Terai, *Pure and Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes associated with squarefree lexsegment ideals*, Commun. Algebra **40** (2012), 4195–4214.
6. H. A. Hulett, *Maximum Betti numbers of homogenous ideals with a given Hilbert function*, Comm. Algebra **21** (1993), 2335–2350.
7. H. Haghighi, S. Yassemi and R. Zaare-Nahandi, *Bipartite S_2 graphs are Cohen-Macaulay,* Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Roumanie. **53** (2010), 125–132.
8. H. Haghighi, S. Yassemi and R. Zaare-Nahandi, *A generalization of k-Cohen-Macaulay complexes*, Ark. Mat. **50** (2012), 279–290.
9. H. Haghighi, S. Yassemi and R. Zaare-Nahandi, *Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs in arbitrary codimension*, Amer. Math. Soc. **143** No. 5 (2015), 1981–1989
10. F. S. Macaualy, *Some properties of enumeration in the theory of modular systems*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **26** (1927) 531–555.
11. G. Reisner, *Cohen-Macaulay quotients of polynomial rings*, Adv. Math. **21**(1976), 30–49.
12. P. Schenzel, *Dualisierende Komplexe in der lokalen Algbera und Buchsbaum Ringe*, LNM **907**, Springer, 1982.
13. P. Schenzel, *On the number of faces of simplicial complexes and the purity of Frobenius*, Math. Z. **178** (1981), 125–142.
14. N. Terai, *Alexander duality in Stanley-Reisner rings*, in "Affine Algebraic Geometry (T. Hibi, ed.)", Osaka University Press, Osaka 2007, 449–462.

Hassan Haghighi, Department of Mathematics, K. N. Toosi University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.

Siamak Yassemi, School of Mathematics, Statistics & Computer Science, University of Tehran, Tehran Iran.

Rahim Zaare-Nahandi, School of Mathematics, Statistics & Computer Science, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.